Investigating on the Relationship between General Styles of Decision Making for Managers in Government Organizations

Reza Shafiezadehgarousi*

Department of Management, Islamic Azad University, Saveh Branch, Saveh, Markazi Provine, Iran

Abstract— Decision making, as the essence of managers activities, is of great importance for all their responsibilities. Managers select their decision making style regarding various individual, organizational and environmental factors. The main goal of the current study is investigation on the relationships between general decision making styles of managers of National Iranian Oil Products Distribution Company based on the structural reliability of questionnaire for general decision making styles. In this regard, 55 managers of the company were selected as statistical population and 5 decision making styles, namely Rational, Intuitive, Dependent, Spontaneous and Avoidant, are investigated among them. Data related to decision making style were collected by decision making style questionnaire. Regarding the fact that the results of Kolmogorov-Smirnov test confirm normal distribution of data related to each style of decision making, Pearson correlation test was used to investigate the correlation between each style of decision making. The results were showed that there is a negative meaningful relationship between rational and intuitive decision making style while there are positive meaningful relationships between avoidant decision making style and dependent and spontaneous decision making styles. In the current research, there are not meaningful relationships between each style of decision making and two demographic characteristics, namely work experience and education of managers.

Index Terms— General Styles, Decision Making, Managers, Government Organizations, Human Resource Management

1 INTRODUCTION

Decision making is one of the most important processes in organizations and is a primary duty for managers in all levels [6], so that some experts are believed that "management is decision making at all" [8]. Koontz believed that the presence of plan, policy and strategy are dependent on decision making, he also believed that manager usually takes decision making as his/her primary duty since he/she must always think about what way should be selected, what should be done, how divide duties between people and what work should be done by who, when, where and how? [5]

Studying the process of decision making is not a new issue. During recent years, numerous researches have been performed in various fields and contexts about decision making resulting various classifications regarding the styles and models of decision making [7]. Those classifications are different based on their attitude about the individual, organizational and environmental factors that affect the reactions and behaviors of people when encountering with decision making [13]. Scott and Bruce investigated on the decision making styles and effective factors on those and emphasized on internal characteristics and individual differences of people to suggest five decision making styles entitled as general decision making styles. These five styles are Rational, Intuitive, Dependent, Spontaneous and Avoidant decision making [9].

Regarding the fact that there have not been any investigation on the decision making styles of managers based on general decision making styles, the main goal of the current research is investigating on general decision making styles among managers of National Iranian Oil Products Distribution Company. General decision making style model of Scott and Bruce and its questionnaire can be used in researches about the personal components and individual characteristics of managers and organizational decision makers. In the current research, the original questionnaire of general decision making style is translated and hence, the validity and reliability of translated form is evaluated in order to use in related researches (with focus on individual differences). In order to evaluate the validity of questionnaire, content validity and construct validity are used [1-23]. Content validity is studied by experts and for construct validity, the correlation between each general decision making styles are calculated. According to the literature review in the field of decision making and above mentioned styles, it is estimated that there would be positive and negative meaningful correlations between some general decision making styles [24-49]. Hence, measuring the correlation between these styles is performed for evaluating construct validity of translated questionnaire and its applicability in management researches. In the current investigation, the correlations between each style and the questions of questionnaire also calculated [50-67]. In order to measure the reliability of questionnaire, the internal consistency of questions is calculated using Cronbach's alpha. Regarding the fact that education and work experience are two major demographic characteristics, especially in management levels, the relation-

 ^{*}Reza Shafiezadehgarousi, Corresponding Author, Ph.D. in Human Resource Management and Assistant Professor, Department of Management, Islamic Azad University, Saveh Branch, Saveh, Markazi Provine, Iran.

ship between work experience and education of managers and their general decision making styles are investigated to answer the question that if these demographic variables are effective on the attitude of managers towards general decision making styles or not [68–90].

2 LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Decision Making

In the middle of past century, Chester Barnard, one of the chief administrators of telephone industry, brought the term "decision making" from the literature of government sector to business world. This term gradually became the alternative for weaker terms such as "resource assignment" and "policy making" [2]. Decision making is selecting a solution among two or more options in a precautionary behavior in order to achieve a goal or specified objective with least possible risk [3]. Therefore, decision making is the core of planning. A plan cannot be existed unless decision was made [15]. Managers always encountered with situations which must make decisions. It shows the importance of decision making for all duties of managers and organizational processes.

Decisions are our responses to the environmental phenomena and occurrences which consist of three aspects [7]:

(1) There should be more than one solution for a considered phenomenon. In other words, if there is one solution for a problem or one usage from an opportunity, decision making does not make sense.

(2) Decision makers predict future occurrences based on a set of possibilities and with a specified reliability.

(3) The consequences induced by each solution should be evaluated in the form of achieving personal values and goals.

2.2 Decision Making Style

Decision making style of people explains their behavior in the time of decision making. In other words, the decision making style of each individual is its personality attitude towards the understanding and reaction to decision making [14]. As a result, in addition to organizational and environmental factors affecting the decision making style of managers, the difference in personalities of managers lead to different decision making styles.

Five decision making styles are introduced by Scott and Bruce as general decision making styles:

- Rational Decision Making Style: The style explains the tendency of decision maker to identify all possible solutions, to evaluate the consequences and results of each solution from various points of view and finally to select optimum and favorite solution in the time of decision making [7]. In other words, in this decision making style, the problem can be defined accurately and decision maker follows a constant and completely identified process for selecting and implementing the best solution and for achieving to goals [4]. People who have rational decision making style make their decisions based on complete and comprehensive search and analysis of all available data originating from both internal and external resources [11]. - Intuitive Decision Making Style: Intuitive decision making is an unconscious process that achieved through inferred experiences [1]. In this style, decision maker has not a clear reason about the accuracy of decision but implements what that seems right based on his/her internal thoughts and attitudes [10]. People who have intuitive style does not completely reject wisely analysis but they are believed that internal intuition can help people for making appropriate decision when encountering with a crisis, with no confidence, when encountered with a huge volume of unprocessed data and when the complexity of problem and critical environment does not allow to collect all possible data about the considered problem and there is not possible to systematically evaluate these data.

Three most important resources of internal intuition in this style are [12]:

(1) Inherent / Inborn Responses: Inherent responses are originated from unconscious of human; it is not educable and is inherently along with human.

(2) General Experiences: This resource of intuition consists of learnings and experiences of human during past events and situations.

(3) Focused Learnings: These learnings are toward reducing the dependency of individual to wisely analyses in ambiguous and unclear conditions and improving intuitive abilities.

In this regard, it can be said that intuitive decision making is more comprehensive and flexible in unclear and turbulent environments.

- **Dependent Decision Making Style:** The style explains rational and practical dependency of decision maker to supports and helps of others in the time of decision making [9]. Managers who have this style are need to have and follow someone orders when encountering with a problem. Such people are completely relied upon the attitudes of others instead of advising with others to finally make their own decision.

- **Spontaneous Decision Making Style:** The style explains the urgent feeling of decision maker and his/her tendency to make the final decision in shortest and fastest possible way [14]. In other words, managers who have this style immediately make their final decision when encountering with a problem.

- **Avoidant Decision Making Style:** People who have this style postpone decision making as long as possible and prevaricate any response when encountering with a problem [9]. Therefore, this style can be defined as tendency of decision maker to avoid making any decision and to elude from any possible situation of decision making [14]:

Spicer and Smith studied these five general decision making styles among two groups of 200 students of business in one of England universities and found negative meaningful relationships between rational style and intuitive, spontaneous and avoidant styles in group (1) while they found positive meaningful relationships between intuitive and spontaneous styles as well as dependent and avoidant styles in group (1). In group (2), the results were similar with group (1) and there was a positive meaningful correlation between avoidant and spontaneous styles, as well [9]. Thunholm studied among 206 International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research, Volume 9, Issue 4, April-2018 ISSN 2229-5518

officer of Sweden Army about the general decision making styles and found a meaningful reverse relationship between rational styles and intuitive, avoidant and spontaneous styles while there was a positive meaningful relationship between intuitive and spontaneous styles as well as dependency and avoidant styles [14].

3 METHODOLOGY

Regarding the fact that the current research investigates the relationships between general decision making styles among sample managers, this is a survey research in which, the main question of research is evaluated by selecting a statistical population and through correlation method, and hence, it can be categorized into applied researches.

4 STATISTICAL POPULATION, MEASURING TOOLS AND STATISTICAL TECHNIQUES

The statistical population of the current research consists of 70 managers of National Iranian Oil Products Distribution Company among them, 55 people were randomly sampled as statistical sample.

General decision making styles questionnaire consists of 25 questions. In order to measure each style, 5 questions are assigned to each decision making style and for responding to each question, Likert spectrum is used. As the original general decision making styles questionnaire was translated, the content validity of translated questionnaire was firstly evaluated by experts and was confirmed. In order to evaluate construct validity, the correlations between styles as well as the correlations between scores of each question and scores of each style were calculated. In order to measure the reliability of questionnaire, its Cronbach's alpha was calculated as 0.71 which indicates its acceptable reliability.

In order to select appropriate correlation test, Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used to indicate normal distribution of data related to each style. In order to investigate the relationship between work experience of managers and decision making styles, Pearson correlation test was used. Chi – square test was used to evaluate the relationship between education of managers and decision making styles.

5 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

All decision making styles are larger than acceptable meaningful level (0.05) obtained from Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Hence, the scores of all styles follow normal distribution and as the scale of variables is quantitative, Pearson correlation test is selected to evaluate the relationship between general decision making styles of managers and to confirm the construct validity of GDMS questionnaire.

As can be seen, the meaningful level of Pearson test is calculated between rational styles and both intuitive (0.011) and avoidant (0.045) styles which are lowering than acceptable meaningful level (0.05). In this regard, it can be said that there is a reverse meaningful relationship between rational and intuitive styles of managers while there is a direct meaningful relationship between rational and avoidant styles of managers. In addition, the meaningful level of correlation test between intuitive and spontaneous styles (0.013) and between avoidant style and both dependent (0.002) and spontaneous (0.029) styles are lower than acceptable meaningful level. Therefore, there are direct meaningful relationships between intuitive and spontaneous styles of managers and between avoidant style and both dependent and spontaneous styles of managers. As the obtained meaningful level from Pearson test between work experience and scores related to each decision making style of considered managers are larger than acceptable meaningful level (0.005), it can be concluded that there is not a meaningful relationship between work experience (years of service) and general decision making styles.

In order to investigate the relationship between education of managers and general decision making styles, Chi-square test is used between education levels of managers (under graduated and lower – graduated and higher) and having each decision making style by managers.

Meaningful level of chi-square test between education level and general decision making styles of managers are higher than acceptable meaningful level (0.005). Therefore, there is not a meaningful relationship between education level of managers and their general decision making styles.

6 CONCLUSION

An experimental research about the individual differences affect decision making style is dependent on a valid measuring tool. General Decision Making Styles (GDMS) questionnaire can be considered as one of those valid tools. Regarding the fact that general decision making styles have not been evaluated in management researches in Iran, it can be considered as a valid measuring tool for decision making styles based on individual characteristics in management researches by confirming its validity and reliability.

The first finding of the current research, i.e. reverse meaningful relationship between rational and intuitive styles, are in agreement with the results reported by Spicer and Smith as well as Thunholm. In the current research, managers who have fewer tendencies to following wisely principles of rational style are more tend to their internal feelings, thoughts and experiences. In ambiguous situations that the problem is not easily identifiable, such managers make their decision based on their internal thoughts and past experiences instead of identifying all possible solutions which may be impossible to do.

In the current research, a positive meaningful relationship is found between rational and avoidant styles which is in agreement with findings of Smith and Spicer as well as Thunholm in view of presence of the relationship not in view of its direction. Based on this result, it can be concluded that managers who believed that rational style should be followed in all decision making situations are not made decision at all when encountered with real situations that are very complicated and it is not possible to wisely and rationally made decisions.

Another finding of the current research explains that there is a positive meaningful relationship between intuitive and spontaneous styles which is in agreement with findings of Smith and Spicer as well as Thunholm. Regarding the fact that intuition process in human mind occurs very fast, managers who have strong ability and tendency for relying upon their internal feelings and cleverness are tended to make decisions immediately based on their internal intuition and feelings.

In the current research, it finds that there is a positive meaningful relationship between avoidant and dependent decision making styles which is in agreement with findings of Smith and Spicer as well as Thunholm. In this regard, managers who are completely relied upon the comments of others in the time of decision making and have not enough independency for making appropriate decision are eluded for responding and making practical decisions to solve the problem and when they are under pressure for responding to the problem, they are completely relied upon the comments of others.

The presence of a positive meaningful relationship between avoidant and spontaneous styles in the current research also is in agreement with findings of Smith and Spicer as well as Thunholm. Based on the obtained results in the current research, managers who are tended to ignore any issue (opportunity or threat) and eluded from responding to and encountering with decision making situations, when are under pressure for making a decision, make their decisions immediately.

Regarding the confirmation of content validity of GDMS questionnaire by experts, the obtained results from Pearson correlation test between general decision making styles of managers confirm the construct correlation of the questionnaire. By confirming content and construct validities of translated questionnaire as well as its reliability with Cronbach's alpha of 0.71, the translated questionnaire of general decision making styles can be used as an appropriate tool for measuring decision making style in researches focused on individual and internal characteristics of decision makers.

There is not a meaningful relationship between work experience and education, as two demographic parameters, and general decision making style of managers. This is in agreement with the findings of Smith and Spicer and is a confirmation on the approach of Smith and Spicer about the relation of internal nature of individual and characteristics of decision making styles. In other words, as work experience and education are not originally inherent, those have not effective on decision making style of people. Therefore, it is critical to find individual and internal characteristics that affect people tendency towards general decision making styles in the future studies.

- As the internal correlation of decision making styles are investigated in the current research, it is suggested that the correlation of decision making styles with other duties of managers such as leadership will investigate in future studies.

- Effective strategies and solutions for overcoming the problems and limitations of decision making styles would be investigated in future.

- Other researches in this field can be performed such as investigating on the interaction of general decision making styles with demands and duties of various managers and other people in organizations and on the relationship between general decision making styles and personality and cognitive styles of individuals.

References

[1] Nick Wildgoose, 6 - Supply Chain Risk Management, In Enterprise Risk Man-

agement, edited by Philip E.J. Green, Butterworth-Heinemann, Boston, 2016, Pages 75-87.

[2] Thomas B. Long, William Young, An exploration of intervention options to enhance the management of supply chain greenhouse gas emissions in the UK, Journal of Cleaner Production, Volume 112, Part 3, 20 January 2016, Pages 1834-1848.

[3] Danping Wang, Gang Du, Roger J. Jiao, Ray Wu, Jianping Yu, Dong Yang, A Stackelberg game theoretic model for optimizing product family architecting with supply chain consideration, International Journal of Production Economics, Volume 172, February 2016, Pages 1-18.

[4] Qiao Zhang, Wansheng Tang, Jianxiong Zhang, Green supply chain performance with cost learning and operational inefficiency effects, Journal of Cleaner Production, Volume 112, Part 4, 20 January 2016, Pages 3267-3284.

[5] Faisal Aqlan, A software application for rapid risk assessment in integrated supply chains, Expert Systems with Applications, Volume 43, January 2016, Pages 109-116.

[6] Frank Wiengarten, Paul Humphreys, Cristina Gimenez, Ronan McIvor, Risk, risk management practices, and the success of supply chain integration, International Journal of Production Economics, Volume 171, Part 3, January 2016, Pages 361-370.

[7] Bo Li, Mengyan Zhu, Yushan Jiang, Zhenhong Li, Pricing policies of a competitive dual-channel green supply chain, Journal of Cleaner Production, Volume 112, Part 3, 20 January 2016, Pages 2029-2042.

[8] Matloub Hussain, Mehmood Khan, Raid Al-Aomar, A framework for supply chain sustainability in service industry with Confirmatory Factor Analysis, Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, Volume 55, March 2016, Pages 1301-1312.

[9] Stephan Sluis, Pietro De Giovanni, The selection of contracts in supply chains: An empirical analysis, Journal of Operations Management, Volume 41, January 2016, Pages 1-11.

[10] Han Wang, Richard Mastragostino, Christopher L.E. Swartz, Flexibility analysis of process supply chain networks, Computers & Chemical Engineering, Volume 84, 4 January 2016, Pages 409-421.

[11] Nicoletta Paolucci, Fabrizio Bezzo, Alessandro Tugnoli, A two-tier approach to the optimization of a biomass supply chain for pyrolysis processes, Biomass and Bioenergy, Volume 84, January 2016, Pages 87-97.

[12] Francesco Costantino, Giulio Di Gravio, Ahmed Shaban, Massimo Tronci, Smoothing inventory decision rules in seasonal supply chains, Expert Systems with Applications, Volume 44, February 2016, Pages 304-319.

[13] Meng Sha, Rajagopalan Srinivasan, Fleet sizing in chemical supply chains using agent-based simulation, Computers & Chemical Engineering, Volume 84, 4 January 2016, Pages 180-198.

[14] Kannan Govindan, Stefan Seuring, Qinghua Zhu, Susana Garrido Azevedo, Accelerating the transition towards sustainability dynamics into supply chain relationship management and governance structures, Journal of Cleaner Production, Volume 112, Part 3, 20 January 2016, Pages 1813-1823.

[15] Ma Zu-Jun, Nian Zhang, Ying Dai, Shu Hu, Managing channel profits of different cooperative models in closed-loop supply chains, Omega, Volume 59, Part B, March 2016, Pages 251-262.

[16] Nitaigour Mahalik and Kiseon Kim, 2 - The Role of Information Technology Developments in Food Supply Chain Integration and Monitoring, In Woodhead Publishing Series in Food Science, Technology and Nutrition, edited by C.E. Leadley, Woodhead Publishing, 2016, Pages 21-37.

[17] Anil Singh, James T.C. Teng, Enhancing supply chain outcomes through Information Technology and Trust, Computers in Human Behavior, Volume 54, January 2016, Pages 290-300.

[18] Chong Wu, David Barnes, An integrated model for green partner selection and supply chain construction, Journal of Cleaner Production, Volume 112, Part 3, 20 January 2016, Pages 2114-2132.

[19] Wenchong Chen, Jing Li, Xiaojie Jin, The replenishment policy of agri-products with stochastic demand in integrated agricultural supply chains, Expert Systems with Applications, Volume 48, 15 April 2016, Pages 55-66.

[20] Xavier Brusset, Does supply chain visibility enhance agility?, International Journal of Production Economics, Volume 171, Part 1, January 2016, Pages 46-59.

[21] Rosa Caiazza, Tiziana Volpe, John L. Stanton, Global supply chain: The consolidators' role, Operations Research Perspectives, Volume 3, 2016, Pages 1-4.

[22] Fu Jia, Xiaofeng Wang, Navonil Mustafee, Liang Hao, Investigating the feasibility of supply chain-centric business models in 3D chocolate printing: A simulation study, Technological Forecasting and Social Change, Volume 102, January 2016, Pages 202-213.

[23] Sónia R. Cardoso, Ana Paula Barbosa-Póvoa, Susana Relvas, Integrating financial risk measures into the design and planning of closed-loop supply chains, Computers & Chemical Engineering, Volume 85, 2 February 2016, Pages 105-123.

[24] Mihalis Giannakis, Thanos Papadopoulos, Supply chain sustainability: A risk management approach, International Journal of Production Economics, Volume 171, Part 4, January 2016, Pages 455-470.

[25] Marco Formentini, Paolo Taticchi, Corporate sustainability approaches and governance mechanisms in sustainable supply chain management, Journal of Cleaner Production, Volume 112, Part 3, 20 January 2016, Pages 1920-1933.

[26] Liang Tang, Ke Jing, Jie He, H. Eugene Stanley, Complex interdependent supply chain networks: Cascading failure and robustness, Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications, Volume 443, 1 February 2016, Pages 58-69.

[27] Chun Hsion Lim, Hon Loong Lam, Biomass supply chain optimisation via novel Biomass Element Life Cycle Analysis (BELCA), Applied Energy, Volume 161, 1 January 2016, Pages 733-745.

[28] Martin Tidy, Xiaojun Wang, Mark Hall, The role of Supplier Relationship Management in reducing Greenhouse Gas emissions from food supply chains: supplier engagement in the UK supermarket sector, Journal of Cleaner Production, Volume 112, Part 4, 20 January 2016, Pages 3294-3305.

[29] Fouad El Ouardighi, Jeong Eun Sim, Bowon Kim, Pollution accumulation and abatement policy in a supply chain, European Journal of Operational Research, Volume 248, Issue 3, 1 February 2016, Pages 982-996.

[30] Jun-Yeon Lee, Richard K. Cho, Seung-Kuk Paik, Supply chain coordination in vendor-managed inventory systems with stockout-cost sharing under limited storage capacity, European Journal of Operational Research, Volume 248, Issue 1, 1 January 2016, Pages 95-106.

[31] Onur Kaya, Busra Urek, A mixed integer nonlinear programming model and heuristic solutions for location, inventory and pricing decisions in a closed loop supply chain, Computers & Operations Research, Volume 65, January 2016, Pages 93-103

[32] Semih Coskun, Leyla Ozgur, Olcay Polat, Askiner Gungor, A model proposal for green supply chain network design based on consumer segmentation, Journal of Cleaner Production, Volume 110, 1 January 2016, Pages 149-157.

[33] Marcel Bogers, Ronen Hadar, Arne Bilberg, Additive manufacturing for consumer-centric business models: Implications for supply chains in consumer goods manufacturing, Technological Forecasting and Social Change, Volume 102, January 2016, Pages 225-239.

[34] Jianghua Wu, Ananth Iyer, Paul V. Preckel, Information visibility and its impact in a supply chain, Operations Research Letters, Volume 44, Issue 1, January 2016, Pages 74-79.

[35] Felix T.S. Chan, Aditya Jha, Manoj K. Tiwari, Bi-objective optimization of three echelon supply chain involving truck selection and loading using NSGA-II with heuristics algorithm, Applied Soft Computing, Volume 38, January 2016, Pages 978-987.

[36] Charbel José Chiappetta Jabbour, Ana Beatriz Lopes de Sousa Jabbour, Green Human Resource Management and Green Supply Chain Management: linking two emerging agendas, Journal of Cleaner Production, Volume 112, Part 3, 20 January 2016, Pages 1824-1833.

[37] Riccardo Accorsi, Susan Cholette, Riccardo Manzini, Chiara Pini, Stefano Penazzi, The land-network problem: ecosystem carbon balance in planning sustainable agro-food supply chains, Journal of Cleaner Production, Volume 112, Part 1, 20 January 2016, Pages 158-171.

[38] C.C. Craige, M.D. Buser, R.S. Frazier, S.S. Hiziroglu, R.B. Holcomb, R.L. Huhnke, Conceptual design of a biofeedstock supply chain model for eastern redcedar, Computers and Electronics in Agriculture, Volume 121, February 2016, Pages 12-24.[39] Felicity C. Denham, Wahidul K. Biswas, Vicky A. Solah, Janet R. Howieson, Greenhouse gas emissions from a Western Australian finfish supply chain, Journal of Cleaner Production, Volume 112, Part 3, 20 January 2016, Pages 2079-2087.

[40] Birome Holo Ba, Christian Prins, Caroline Prodhon, Models for optimization and performance evaluation of biomass supply chains: An Operations Research perspective, Renewable Energy, Volume 87, Part 2, March 2016, Pages 977-989.

[41] Sushil R. Poudel, Mohammad Marufuzzaman, Linkan Bian, Designing a reliable bio-fuel supply chain network considering link failure probabilities, Computers & Industrial Engineering, Volume 91, January 2016, Pages 85-99.

[42] Cristina Sancha, Cristina Gimenez, Vicenta Sierra, Achieving a socially responsible supply chain through assessment and collaboration, Journal of Cleaner Production, Volume 112, Part 3, 20 January 2016, Pages 1934-1947.

[43] Carlos Miret, Philippe Chazara, Ludovic Montastruc, Stéphane Negny, Serge Domenech, Design of bioethanol green supply chain: Comparison between first and second generation biomass concerning economic, environmental and social criteria, Computers & Chemical Engineering, Volume 85, 2 February 2016, Pages 16-35.

[44] Sini Laari, Juuso Töyli, Tomi Solakivi, Lauri Ojala, Firm performance and customer-driven green supply chain management, Journal of Cleaner Production, Volume 112, Part 3, 20 January 2016, Pages 1960-1970.

[45] Miriam M. Wilhelm, Constantin Blome, Vikram Bhakoo, Antony Paulraj, Sustainability in multi-tier supply chains: Understanding the double agency role of the first-tier supplier, Journal of Operations Management, Volume 41, January 2016, Pages 42-60.

[46] Yuan-Hsu Lin, Ming-Lang Tseng, Assessing the competitive priorities within sustainable supply chain management under uncertainty, Journal of Cleaner Production, Volume 112, Part 3, 20 January 2016, Pages 2133-2144.

[47] Sherif A. Masoud, Scott J. Mason, Integrated cost optimization in a two-stage, automotive supply chain, Computers & Operations Research, Volume 67, March 2016, Pages 1-11.

[48] Mehmet Sekip Altug, Supply chain contracting for vertically differentiated products, International Journal of Production Economics, Volume 171, Part 1, January 2016, Pages 34-45.

[49] Nelson Chibeles-Martins, Tânia Pinto-Varela, Ana P. Barbosa-Póvoa, Augusto Q. Novais, A multi-objective meta-heuristic approach for the design and planning of green supply chains - MBSA, Expert Systems with Applications, Volume 47, 1 April 2016, Pages 71-84.

[50] Hongyan Dai, Jianbin Li, Nina Yan, Weihua Zhou, Bullwhip effect and supply chain costs with low- and high-quality information on inventory shrinkage, European Journal of Operational Research, Volume 250, Issue 2, 16 April 2016, Pages 457-469.

[51] Gül E. Kremer, Karl Haapala, Alper Murat, Ratna Babu Chinnam, Kyoungyun Kim, Leslie Monplaisir, Ting Lei, Directions for instilling economic and environmental sustainability across product supply chains, Journal of Cleaner Production, Volume 112, Part 3, 20 January 2016, Pages 2066-2078.

[52] Michal Kulak, Thomas Nemecek, Emmanuel Frossard, Gérard Gaillard, Ecoefficiency improvement by using integrative design and life cycle assessment. The case study of alternative bread supply chains in France, Journal of Cleaner Production, Volume 112, Part 4, 20 January 2016, Pages 2452-2461.

[53] Pietro De Giovanni, Puduru V. Reddy, Georges Zaccour, Incentive strategies

for an optimal recovery program in a closed-loop supply chain, European Journal of Operational Research, Volume 249, Issue 2, 1 March 2016, Pages 605-617.

[54] Fatih Mutlu, Mohamed K. Msakni, Hakan Yildiz, Erkut Sönmez, Shaligram Pokharel, A comprehensive annual delivery program for upstream liquefied natural gas supply chain, European Journal of Operational Research, Volume 250, Issue 1, 1 April 2016, Pages 120-130.

[55] Imen Nouira, Ramzi Hammami, Yannick Frein, Cecilia Temponi, Design of forward supply chains: Impact of a carbon emissions-sensitive demand, International Journal of Production Economics, Volume 173, March 2016, Pages 80-98.

[56] Juhong Gao, Hongshuai Han, Liting Hou, Haiyan Wang, Pricing and effort decisions in a closed-loop supply chain under different channel power structures, Journal of Cleaner Production, Volume 112, Part 3, 20 January 2016, Pages 2043-2057.

[57] Fang Zhang, Junhai Ma, Research on the complex features about a dualchannel supply chain with a fair caring retailer, Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation, Volume 30, Issues 1–3, January 2016, Pages 151-167.

[58] Jianping Peng, Jing Quan, Guoying Zhang, Alan J. Dubinsky, Mediation effect of business process and supply chain management capabilities on the impact of IT on firm performance: Evidence from Chinese firms, International Journal of Information Management, Volume 36, Issue 1, February 2016, Pages 89-96.

[59] Zhibing Lin, Price promotion with reference price effects in supply chain, Transportation Research Part E: Logistics and Transportation Review, Volume 85, January 2016, Pages 52-68.

[60] Mark A. Jacobs, Wantao Yu, Roberto Chavez, The effect of internal communication and employee satisfaction on supply chain integration, International Journal of Production Economics, Volume 171, Part 1, January 2016, Pages 60-70.

[61] Michael Becker-Peth, Ulrich W. Thonemann, Reference points in revenue sharing contracts – How to design optimal supply chain contracts, European Journal of Operational Research, Volume 249, Issue 3, 16 March 2016, Pages 1033-1049.

[62] Yun Bai, Yanfeng Ouyang, Jong-Shi Pang, Enhanced models and improved solution for competitive biofuel supply chain design under land use constraints, European Journal of Operational Research, Volume 249, Issue 1, 16 February 2016, Pages 281-297.

[63] Moza A. Al-Busaidi, David J. Jukes, Shekar Bose, Seafood safety and quality: An analysis of the supply chain in the Sultanate of Oman, Food Control, Volume 59, January 2016, Pages 651-662.

[64] Jing Zhu, Tamer Boyaci, Saibal Ray, Effects of upstream and downstream mergers on supply chain profitability, European Journal of Operational Research, Volume 249, Issue 1, 16 February 2016, Pages 131-143.

[65] Scott Unger, Amy Landis, Assessing the environmental, human health, and economic impacts of reprocessed medical devices in a Phoenix hospital's supply chain, Journal of Cleaner Production, Volume 112, Part 3, 20 January 2016, Pages 1995-2003.

[66] Fengli Zhang, Dana Johnson, Mark Johnson, David Watkins, Robert Froese, Jinjiang Wang, Decision support system integrating GIS with simulation and optimisation for a biofuel supply chain, Renewable Energy, Volume 85, January 2016, Pages 740-748.

[67] Vinod Kumar, Sushil Kumar Purbey, Ajit Kumar Dubedi Anal, Losses in litchi at various stages of supply chain and changes in fruit quality parameters, Crop Protection, Volume 79, January 2016, Pages 97-104.

[68] Kenji Matsui, Asymmetric product distribution between symmetric manufacturers using dual-channel supply chains, European Journal of Operational Research, Volume 248, Issue 2, 16 January 2016, Pages 646-657.

[69] Marcus Brandenburg, Supply chain efficiency, value creation and the economic crisis – An empirical assessment of the European automotive industry 2002–2010, International Journal of Production Economics, Volume 171, Part 3, January 2016, Pages 321-335.

[70] Byung-Gak Son, ManMohan Sodhi, Canan Kocabasoglu-Hillmer, Tae-Hee Lee, Supply chain information in analyst reports on publicly traded companies, International Journal of Production Economics, Volume 171, Part 3, January 2016, Pages 350-360.

[71] Yun Huang, Kai Wang, Ting Zhang, Chuan Pang, Green supply chain coordination with greenhouse gases emissions management: a game-theoretic approach, Journal of Cleaner Production, Volume 112, Part 3, 20 January 2016, Pages 2004-2014.

[72] David Richard Lyon, Chapter 3 - Methane Emissions from the Natural Gas Supply Chain, In Environmental and Health Issues in Unconventional Oil and Gas Development, edited by Debra KadenTracie Rose, Elsevier, 2016, Pages 33-48.

[73] Mingquan Cui, Maoying Xie, Zhina Qu, Sijun Zhao, Junwei Wang, Yang Wang, Tao He, Hongyu Wang, Zhicai Zuo, Congming Wu, Prevalence and antimicrobial resistance of Salmonella isolated from an integrated broiler chicken supply chain in Qingdao, China, Food Control, Volume 62, April 2016, Pages 270-276.

[74] Michael F. Ashby, Chapter 5 - Materials Supply-Chain Risk, In Materials and Sustainable Development, edited by Michael F. Ashby, Butterworth-Heinemann, Boston, 2016, Pages 85-100.

[75] Wei Wang, Gang Li, T.C.E. Cheng, Channel selection in a supply chain with a multi-channel retailer: The role of channel operating costs, International Journal of Production Economics, Volume 173, March 2016, Pages 54-65.

[76] S.M. Nazmul Alam, Chapter 6 - Safety in the Shrimp Supply Chain, In Regulating Safety of Traditional and Ethnic Foods, edited by Vishweshwaraiah PrakashOlga Martín-BellosoLarry KeenerSiân AstleySusanne BraunHelena McMahonHuub Lelieveld, Academic Press, San Diego, 2016, Pages 99-123.

[77] Annelies De Meyer, Dirk Cattrysse, Jos Van Orshoven, Considering biomass growth and regeneration in the optimisation of biomass supply chains, Renewable Energy, Volume 87, Part 2, March 2016, Pages 990-1002.

[78] Zhen-Zhen Jia, Jean-Christophe Deschamps, Rémy Dupas, A negotiation protocol to improve planning coordination in transport-driven supply chains, Journal of Manufacturing Systems, Volume 38, January 2016, Pages 13-26.

[79] Daniel Klein, Christian Wolf, Christoph Schulz, Gabriele Weber-Blaschke, Environmental impacts of various biomass supply chains for the provision of raw wood in Bavaria, Germany, with focus on climate change, Science of The Total Environment, Volume 539, 1 January 2016, Pages 45-60.

[80] Omid Sanei Bajgiran, Masoumeh Kazemi Zanjani, Mustapha Nourelfath, The value of integrated tactical planning optimization in the lumber supply chain, International Journal of Production Economics, Volume 171, Part 1, January 2016, Pages 22-33.

[81] I. Ribeiro, J. Kaufmann, A. Schmidt, P. Peças, E. Henriques, U. Götze, Fostering selection of sustainable manufacturing technologies – a case study involving product design, supply chain and life cycle performance, Journal of Cleaner Production, Volume 112, Part 4, 20 January 2016, Pages 3306-3319.

[82] Chunguang Bai, Dileep Dhavale, Joseph Sarkis, Complex investment decisions using rough set and fuzzy c-means: An example of investment in green supply chains, European Journal of Operational Research, Volume 248, Issue 2, 16 January 2016, Pages 507-521.

[83] Yong Shin Park, Gokhan Egilmez, Murat Kucukvar, Emergy and end-point impact assessment of agricultural and food production in the United States: A supply chain-linked Ecologically-based Life Cycle Assessment, Ecological Indicators, Volume 62, March 2016, Pages 117-137.

[84] Martha Demertzi, Rui Pedro Silva, Belmira Neto, Ana Cláudia Dias, Luís Arroja, Cork stoppers supply chain: potential scenarios for environmental impact reduction, Journal of Cleaner Production, Volume 112, Part 3, 20 January 2016, Pages 1985-1994.

[85] Laura Roibás, Aziz Elbehri, Almudena Hospido, Carbon footprint along the Ecuadorian banana supply chain: methodological improvements and calculation tool, Journal of Cleaner Production, Volume 112, Part 4, 20 January 2016, Pages International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research, Volume 9, Issue 4, April-2018 ISSN 2229-5518

2441-2451.

[86] Heti Mulyati, Sustainability in Supply Chain Management Casebook: Applications in SCM: a book review, Journal of Cleaner Production, Volume 110, 1 January 2016, Pages 198-199.

[87] Katrina Lintukangas, Anni-Kaisa Kähkönen, Paavo Ritala, Supply risks as drivers of green supply management adoption, Journal of Cleaner Production, Volume 112, Part 3, 20 January 2016, Pages 1901-1909.

[88] Jukka Hallikas, Katrina Lintukangas, Purchasing and supply: An investigation of risk management performance, International Journal of Production Economics, Volume 171, Part 4, January 2016, Pages 487-494.

[89] B.C. Giri, S. Sharma, Optimal production policy for a closed-loop hybrid system with uncertain demand and return under supply disruption, Journal of Cleaner Production, Volume 112, Part 3, 20 January 2016, Pages 2015-2028.

[90] Per Engelseth, Aligning end-to-end seafood supply through a series of markets, International Journal of Production Economics, Volume 173, March 2016, Pages 99-110.

IJSER